

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	09/720,541	KNOPLIOCH ET AL	
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>	
	Barry Choobin	2625	

**All Participants:**

(1) Barry Choobin.

(2) Jay L. Chaskin.

**Date of Interview:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Status of Application:** \_\_\_\_\_

(3) \_\_\_\_\_

(4) \_\_\_\_\_

**Time:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Type of Interview:**

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

26-27

Prior art documents discussed:

**Part II.**


SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

**Part III.**

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

  
 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

\_\_\_\_\_  
 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: claims' formats were discussed. In particular, claims 26-27, wherein "A computer program comprising, program code means for implementing...". The examiner indicated that the claims' formats are non-statutory and subject of 101 rejections. Applicant's representative disagreed and insisted that the formats are statutory (note, the Examiner had consulted the 101 help panel on 4/18/05 before initiating the interview ) .